W-41,091L) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 21, 2001, through July 15, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of September, 2002.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–25785 Filed 10–9–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-41,225]

### Jideco of Bardstown, Bardstown, KY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 1, 2002 in response to a petition, which was filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Jideco of Bardstown, Bardstown, Kentucky.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 1st day of October, 2002.

### Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–25769 Filed 10–9–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-40,092, Canonsburg, PA, et al.]

MICTEC, Inc., Including Employees of MICTEC, Inc. Operating at Various Locations; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on November 26, 2001, applicable to workers of MICTEC, Inc., Canonsburg, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 18, 2001 (66 FR 65220).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations occurred involving employees of the Canonsburg, Pennsylvania facility of MICTEC, Inc. operating at various locations in the following states: Illinois, Pennsylvania, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio and Georgia. These employees provide support function services for the production of refractory materials and related machinery at the Canonsburg, Pennsylvania location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the
Department is amending this
certification to include employees of the
Canonsburg, Pennsylvania location of
MICTEC, Inc. operating at various
locations in the following states:
Illinois, Pennsylvania, Indiana,
Michigan, Ohio and Georgia.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of MICTEC, Inc. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–40,092 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of MICTEC, Canonsburg, Pennsylvania (TA–W–40,092), including employees of MICTEC, Canonsburg, Pennsylvania operating at various locations in the following states: Illinois (TA–W–40,092A), Pennsylvania, Excluding Canonsburg, (TA–W–40,092B), Indiana (TA–W–40,092C), Michigan (TA–W–40,092D), Ohio (TA–W–40,092E) and Georgia (TA–W–40,092F), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 7, 2000, through November 26, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 3rd day of September, 2002.

## Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–25780 Filed 10–9–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-41,302]

## Motorola, Inc., Arlington Heights, IL; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 25, 2002, a petitioner requested that the Department of Labor amend a Trade Adjustment Assistance certification issued on May 2, 2002 for workers of Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions (GTSS) and

Commercial, Government, Industrial Solutions Sector (CGISS), Schaumburg, Illinois (TA-W-40,501 & TA-W-40,501A, respectively) to include workers of Motorola, Inc., Arlington Heights, Illinois (TA-W-41,302). Based on the information supplied in the petitioner's letter, it appears the petitioner is actually requesting administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm (TA-W-41,302). The denial notice was signed on June 27, 2002, and published in the Federal Register on July 9, 2002 (67 FR

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Motorola, Inc., Arlington Heights, Illinois was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The investigation revealed that the predominate cause of worker separations at the subject facility was related to a domestic shift of production to another facility located in Illinois.

The petitioner believes that the workers at the subject plant were in direct support of a facility under an existing Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) Certification (TA–W–40,501) Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector (GTSS), formerly Network Solutions Sector (NSS), Schaumburg, Illinois and therefore believes they should be considered for TAA certification. The petitioner further believes that the workers do the same work as the Schaumburg plant.

A review of the data supplied by the company during the initial investigation shows that subject plant workers were primarily engaged in activities related to the production of cable modems and cable hardware. The workers at the TAA certified facility located in Schaumburg were engaged in the production of IDEN and CGISS radio system units.

The company supplied further information concerning any potential